

# Computable Multifunctions on Effectively Hausdorff Spaces

Matthias Schröder

18<sup>th</sup> International Conference on  
Computability and Complexity in Analysis (CCA)

Neubiberg (Germany), virtual

July 2021

## Survey

- ▶ The current notion of computable Hausdorffness
- ▶ A new notion of effective Hausdorffness
- ▶ A characterisation of computable multifunctions
- ▶ Strongly computable multifunctions

## The current notion of computable Hausdorffness

## Current Definition

$X$  is *computably Hausdorff*, if inequality on  $X$  is semi-decidable.

## Example

Any computable metric space.

## Characterisation (A. Pauly 2012)

Let  $X$  be a computably admissible represented  $T_1$ -space. TFAE:

- ▶  $X$  is computably Hausdorff.
- ▶ The diagonal  $\{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$  is co-c.e. closed.
- ▶ The embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto \{x\}$  is computable.
- ▶ The inclusion  $\mathcal{K}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$  is well-defined and computable.
- ▶  $\cap: \mathcal{K}(X) \times \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$  is well-defined and computable.

## Main Disadvantage

Computable Hausdorffness  $\not\Rightarrow$  topological Hausdorffness.

## Counterexample

Let  $\omega M$  be the one-point compactification of a computable metric space  $M$  that is *not* locally compact.

- ▶  $\omega M$  is computably Hausdorff,
- ▶ but not topologically Hausdorff.

## One-point compactification of $M$ :

- ▶ Underlying set:  $\omega M := M \uplus \{\omega\}$
- ▶ Topology:  $O(M) \cup \{\omega M \setminus K \mid K \text{ compact in } M\}$
- ▶  $\omega M$  has a canonical representation  $\delta_{\omega M}$  derived from  $\delta_M$ .

## Disadvantage II

Computable Hausdorffness does not admit effectivisation of some classical theorems.

### Example

- ▶ *Classical Theorem:* Any compact Hausdorff space is regular.
- ▶ *But:* A computably compact, computably Hausdorff space need *not* be computably regular.
- ▶ *Counterexample:* The one-point compactification  $\omega M$  of a computable metric space  $M$  that is *not* locally compact.

### Remember

- ▶  $X$  is *computably compact*, if, for  $U$  open, ' $U = X$ ?' is semi-decidable.
- ▶  $X$  is *computably regular*, if, given  $x \in U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ , one can computably select an open set  $V$  and a closed set  $A$  with  $x \in V \subseteq A \subseteq U$ .

## The new notion of effective Hausdorffness

## Basic Idea

### Proposition

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff QCB-space.

- ▶  $X$  has a subtopology  $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)$  that is countably based and Hausdorff.
- ▶ Any such subtopology  $\tau$  satisfies:
  - ▶  $\tau|_K = \mathcal{O}(X)|_K$  for any compact subspace  $K \in \mathbf{K}(X)$ .
  - ▶  $(x_n)_n$  converges to  $x_\infty$  in  $X$  iff
    - (a)  $(x_n)_n$  converges to  $x_\infty$  w.r.t.  $\tau$  &
    - (b)  $(x_n)_n$  is contained in some  $K \in \mathbf{K}(X)$ .

### Remember

- ▶ QCB-space = a **q**uotient of a **c**ountably **b**ased topological space
- ▶ QCB = class of top. spaces that can be handled by TTE



## Definition

A *computable witness of Hausdorffness* for  $X$  is a sequence  $(u_i, v_i)_i$  in  $O(X) \times O(X)$  such that:

- ▶  $u_i \cap v_i = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ For all  $x \neq y$ , there is some  $j$  such that  $x \in u_j, y \in v_j$ .
- ▶  $(u_i)_i, (v_i)_i$  are computable sequences (w.r.t. the canonical positive representation  $\theta_+$  of  $O(X)$ ).

## Definition

We call a rep. space  $X$  an *effectively Hausdorff QCB-space*, if

- ▶ it has a computable witness of Hausdorffness &
- ▶ its representation  $\delta_X$  is computably admissible.

**Example** (Effectively Hausdorff QCB-space)

Any computable metric space.

### Observation

Any effectively Hausdorff space is

- ▶ topologically Hausdorff,
- ▶ computably Hausdorff.

**Proposition** [A. Pauly 2021]

Topologically Hausdorff  $\wedge$  computably Hausdorff  $\not\Rightarrow$  effectively Hausdorff.

**Theorem**

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be effectively Hausdorff QCB-spaces.

Then the following spaces are effectively Hausdorff:

- ▶  $X \times Y$
- ▶  $X \oplus Y$
- ▶ any QCB-subspace of  $X$
- ▶  $Y^Z$ , whenever  $Z$  has a computable dense sequence

## Classical Theorems

- ▶ Any compact Hausdorff space is regular.
- ▶ Any separable compact Hausdorff space is metrisable.

## Theorem

- ▶ Any computably compact, effectively Hausdorff space  $X$  is computably regular.
- ▶ If additionally  $X$  has a computable dense sequence  $(\alpha_k)_k$ , then  $X$  has a metric  $d$  such that
  - ▶  $(X, d, \alpha)$  is a computable metric space &
  - ▶ its Cauchy representation is computably equivalent to  $\delta_X$ .

## A characterisation of computable multifunctions

## Recap

- ▶ A *multifunction* (or *computational problem*)  $F$  is a relation between represented spaces  $X, Y$ , written as  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$ .
- ▶  $X$  is the *input space*,  $Y$  is the *output space* of  $F$ .
- ▶ Notation:  $F[x] := \{y \in Y \mid (x, y) \in F\}$ .

## Remark

We will assume every multifunction  $F$  to be *total*, i.e.  $F[x] \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in X$ .

## Recap

Let  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$  be a total multifunction.

- ▶  $F$  is called *computable*, if there is a computable *realizer*  $g: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfying

$$\delta_Y g(p) \in F[\delta_X(p)] \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{dom}(\delta_X).$$

- ▶ Diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{F} & Y \\
 \delta_X \uparrow & \circlearrowleft & \uparrow \delta_Y \\
 \text{dom}(\delta_X) & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{dom}(\delta_Y)
 \end{array}$$

## Powerspaces of compact subsets

### Definition [CCA 2020]

Let  $\mathbf{Y}$  be a represented  $\mathbf{QCB}_2$ -space.

- ▶  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Y}) := \{\text{all non-empty compact subsets of } \mathbf{Y}\}.$
- ▶ Using
  - ▶  $\kappa_+$  (= the canonical positive representation for  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Y})$  in TTE)
  - ▶  $\kappa_-$  (= the canonical negative representation for  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Y})$  in TTE)

we define a representation  $\kappa_{+b}$  for  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Y})$  by

$$\kappa_{+b}\langle p, b \rangle = K \quad \text{iff} \quad \kappa_+(p) = K \ \& \ K \subseteq \kappa_-(b).$$

- ▶ Set:
  - ▶  $\mathcal{K}_{+b}(\mathbf{Y}) := (\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Y}), \kappa_{+b})$
  - ▶  $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathbf{Y}) := (\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Y}), \kappa_+)$
  - ▶  $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathbf{Y}) := (\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Y}), \kappa_-)$



## Characterisation Theorem

Let  $X$  be a computable metric space and  $Y$  be effectively Hausdorff. Let  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$  be a total multifunction. TFAE:

- (a)  $F$  is computable.
- (b) There is a computable function  $h: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{+b}(Y)$  such that
 
$$\emptyset \neq h(x) \subseteq F[x] \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$
- (c) There are computable functions  $h_+: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_+(Y)$  and  $h_b: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(Y)$  such that
 
$$\emptyset \neq h_+(x) \subseteq F[x] \cap h_b(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

## Remark

- ▶ (b)  $\not\Rightarrow$  (a) for computably Hausdorff spaces  $Y$ .
- ▶ (a)  $\not\Rightarrow$  (b) for non-metrisable spaces  $X$ .
- ▶ (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) needs only effective Hausdorffness of  $Y$ .
- ▶ (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) needs only computable metrisability of  $X$ .

“(b)  $\implies$  (a)” is based on:

## Theorem

Let  $Y$  be effectively Hausdorff. Then:

- ▶ Compact choice for  $Y$  is computable w.r.t.  $\kappa_{+b}$ ,
- ▶ *i.e.*: there is a computable selector  $S: \text{dom}(\kappa_{+b}) \rightarrow Y$  such that  $S(p) \in \kappa_{+b}(p)$ .

## Remark

- ▶ This is not true for computably Hausdorff spaces  $Y$ .
- ▶ For  $Y \in \text{QCB}_2 \setminus \omega\text{Top}$ , compact choice is incomputable w.r.t.  $\kappa_+$ .
- ▶ Compact choice is computable w.r.t.  $\kappa_+$  for:
  - ▶ [V. Brattka & P. Hertling 1994]  
any computable metric space
  - ▶ [M. de Brecht & A. Pauly & Sch. 2019]  
any computably Hausdorff, computable quasi-Polish space

## Strongly computable multifunctions

## Goal

A computability notion for multifunctions which

- ▶ is based on computable set-valued functions
- ▶ works for non-metrisable input spaces  $X$
- ▶ entails the usual notion

## Recall

The Characterisation Theorem only works for input spaces  $X$  that are computably metrisable.

## Recall

$F: X \rightrightarrows Y$  is computable iff there are computable  $h_+, h_b$  into  $\mathcal{K}(Y)$  such that  $\emptyset \neq h_+(x) \subseteq F[x] \cap h_b(x)$ .

## Definition

We call  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$  *strongly computable*, if there are computable functions  $h_+: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_+(Y)$  and  $h_-: X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(Y)$  such that

$$\emptyset \neq h_+(x) \subseteq F[x] \subseteq h_-(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

## Alternative Definition

Call  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$  *strongly computable*, if  $F$  has compact images and is computable viewed as a map from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{K}_{+b}(Y)$ .

**Example** (Strongly computable multifunctions)

- ▶ The finite precision test  $(x <_{\varepsilon} y): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbf{Bool}$ ,

$$(x <_{\varepsilon} y) := \begin{cases} \{\text{true}\} & \text{if } x \leq y - \varepsilon \\ \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} & \text{if } y - \varepsilon < x < y \\ \{\text{false}\} & \text{if } x \geq y \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Zero-finding  $Z_3: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$  of polynomials of degree 3,

$$Z_3[a, b, c] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0\}$$

- ▶ The inverse of the signed-digit representation.

## Theorem

Let  $X$  be a represented space and  $Y$  be effectively Hausdorff. Then any strongly computable total multifunction  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$  is computable.

## Proposition

Let  $X$  be a comp. metric space and  $Y$  be effectively Hausdorff. Then for any computable  $G: X \rightrightarrows Y$  there exists a strongly computable  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$  such that, for all  $x \in X$ ,

- ▶  $\emptyset \neq F[x] \subseteq G[x]$
- ▶  $F[x]$  is compact.

**Definition** (The composition  $\diamond$ )

For total multifunctions  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$ ,  $G: Y \rightrightarrows Z$  define

$$G \diamond F[x] := \text{Cls}(G[F[x]]) = \text{Cls} \bigcup \{ G[y] : y \in F[x] \}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition**

The composition  $\diamond$  preserves strong computability for total multifunctions between effectively Hausdorff spaces.

**Proposition**

- ▶ Strongly computable multifunctions with compact images between effectively Hausdorff spaces form a category.
- ▶ This category **EffHausMulti** has equalisers and finite coproducts, but only weak products.



## Summary

- ▶ The new notion of effective Hausdorffness entails
  - ▶ the previous notion,
  - ▶ topological Hausdorffness,
  - ▶ effective versions of some classical theorems from topology.
- ▶ Computable multifunctions from computable metric spaces to effective Hausdorff spaces can be characterised via compact-set-valued functions.
- ▶ Strong computability of multifunctions
  - ▶ is defined via computability of set-valued functions,
  - ▶ works for all effectively Hausdorff spaces.
- ▶ Open problem:  
Find a characterisation of computable multifunctions on input spaces that are not computable metric spaces.

## References

- ▶ V. Brattka, P. Hertling: *Continuity and Computability of Relations*. Informatik Berichte 164, FernUniversität Hagen (1994)
- ▶ M. de Brecht, A. Pauly, Sch.: *Overt choice*.
  - ▶ *Computability* 9(3-4), 169–191 (2020)
  - ▶ arXiv: 1902.05926v1
- ▶ T. Grubba, K. Weihrauch, Sch.: *Computable metrization*. *Math. Log. Q.*, 53(4-5), 381–395 (2007)
- ▶ A. Pauly: *On the topological aspects of the theory of represented spaces*.
  - ▶ *Computability* 5(2), 159–180 (2016)
  - ▶ arXiv: 1204.3763v3
- ▶ Sch.: *Admissibly Represented Spaces and QCB-Spaces*.
  - ▶ in: V. Brattka, P. Hertling (eds.), *Handbook of Computability and Complexity in Analysis*, Springer Verlag, 305–346 (2021)
  - ▶ arXiv: 2004.09450v1
- ▶ Sch.: *Characterising Continuously Realizable Multifunctions*. Proceedings of CCA 2020, University of Bologna