

Computably ANRs

Djamel Eddine AMIR

Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, LORIA

CCA 2023



Hilbert cube

Definition

The **Hilbert cube** is the space $Q = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the product topology, induced by the complete metric

$$d_Q(x, y) = \sum_i 2^{-i} |x_i - y_i|$$

where $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$ and $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots)$.

Some facts about the Hilbert cube

- Let $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a computable enumeration of the points of Q having rational coordinates, finitely many of them being non-zero, the space (Q, d_Q, α) is a **computable metric space**,

Some facts about the Hilbert cube

- Let $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a computable enumeration of the points of Q having rational coordinates, finitely many of them being non-zero, the space (Q, d_Q, α) is a **computable metric space**,
- Every computable metric space **embeds** effectively into the Hilbert cube,

Some facts about the Hilbert cube

- Let $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a computable enumeration of the points of Q having rational coordinates, finitely many of them being non-zero, the space (Q, d_Q, α) is a **computable metric space**,
- Every computable metric space **embeds** effectively into the Hilbert cube,
- There exists a **dense sequence of computable functions** $f_j : Q \rightarrow Q$ in the space of continuous functions from Q to Q .

Semicomputable/computable compact sets

Definition

A compact set X in $(Q, (B_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ is

- ① **Semicomputable** if the set

$$\{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^* : X \subseteq B_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i_n}\}$$

is c.e.

Semicomputable/computable compact sets

Definition

A compact set X in $(Q, (B_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ is

- ① **Semicomputable** if the set

$$\{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^* : X \subseteq B_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i_n}\}$$

is c.e.

- ① **Computable** if it is semicomputable and the set

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : X \cap B_i \neq \emptyset\}$$

is c.e.

Strong computable type

Definition (A., Hoyrup [2])

A compact pair (X, A) has **strong computable type** if for every oracle O and every copy (Y, B) of (X, A) in Q , if (Y, B) is semicomputable relative to O , then Y is computable relative to O . X has strong computable type if (X, \emptyset) has it.

Examples

- The n -dimensional ball with its bounding sphere, Miller 2002 [6]

Examples

- The n -dimensional ball with its bounding sphere, Miller 2002 [6]
- Compact manifolds with their boundaries, Iljazović and Sušić 2018, [5]

Examples

- The n -dimensional ball with its bounding sphere, Miller 2002 [6]
- Compact manifolds with their boundaries, Iljazović and Sušić 2018, [5]
- Graphs with their endpoints, Iljazović 2020, [4]

Examples

- The n -dimensional ball with its bounding sphere, Miller 2002 [6]
- Compact manifolds with their boundaries, Iljazović and Sušić 2018, [5]
- Graphs with their endpoints, Iljazović 2020, [4]
- ...

The ϵ -surjection property

Definition (A., Hoyrup [1])

Let $\epsilon > 0$. A pair $(X, A) \subseteq Q$ satisfies the **ϵ -surjection property** if every continuous function $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying $d_X(f, \text{id}_X) < \epsilon$ and $f|_A = \text{id}_A$ is surjective.

X satisfies the ϵ -surjection property if (X, \emptyset) satisfies it.

The ϵ -surjection property

Definition (A., Hoyrup [1])

Let $\epsilon > 0$. A pair $(X, A) \subseteq Q$ satisfies the **ϵ -surjection property** if every continuous function $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying $d_X(f, \text{id}_X) < \epsilon$ and $f|_A = \text{id}_A$ is surjective.

X satisfies the ϵ -surjection property if (X, \emptyset) satisfies it.

Example

The n -dimensional sphere.

The n -dimensional ball with its bounding sphere.

Theorem (A., Hoyrup [2])

If $(X, A) \subseteq Q$ has strong computable type, then it satisfies the ϵ -surjection property for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Finite simplicial complexes

Theorem (A., Hoyrup [1])

For a finite simplicial pair (X, A) such that A has empty interior in X , the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 (X, A) has (strong) computable type,
- 2 (X, A) satisfies the ϵ -surjection property for some $\epsilon > 0$.

For which other classes of spaces, satisfying the ϵ -surjection property is **sufficient** to have strong computable type?

For which other classes of spaces, satisfying the ϵ -surjection property is **sufficient** to have strong computable type?

An answer: **computably ANRs**.

Absolute neighborhood retracts

Definition

Let (X, A) be a pair. A **retraction** $r : X \rightarrow A$ is a continuous function such that $r|_A = \text{id}_A$. If a retraction exists, then we say that A is a **retract** of X .

Absolute neighborhood retracts

Definition

Let (X, A) be a pair. A **retraction** $r : X \rightarrow A$ is a continuous function such that $r|_A = \text{id}_A$. If a retraction exists, then we say that A is a **retract** of X .

Definition

Let X be a Hausdorff compact space. X is an **absolute neighborhood retract (ANR)** if every copy X' of X in Q is retract of a neighborhood of X' .

Examples

- n -dimensional spheres and balls

Examples

- n -dimensional spheres and balls
- Finite simplicial complexes

Examples

- n -dimensional spheres and balls
- Finite simplicial complexes
- Locally finite CW-complexes

Examples

- n -dimensional spheres and balls
- Finite simplicial complexes
- Locally finite CW-complexes
- Compact manifolds

Examples

- n -dimensional spheres and balls
- Finite simplicial complexes
- Locally finite CW-complexes
- Compact manifolds
- ...

Computably absolute neighborhood retracts

Definition (A.)

A compact metrizable space X is a **computably absolute neighborhood retract (computably ANR)** if there exists a copy X_0 of X in the Hilbert cube and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 .

Computably absolute neighborhood retracts

Definition (A.)

A compact metrizable space X is a **computably absolute neighborhood retract (computably ANR)** if there exists a copy X_0 of X in the Hilbert cube and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 .

Fact (Collins [3])

The homology groups of computably ANRs are computable.

Examples

- n -dimensional **spheres** are computably ANRs.

Examples

- n -dimensional **spheres** are computably ANRs.
- More generally, **finite simplicial complexes** are computably ANRs.

Are **compact manifolds** with or without boundary computably ANRs?

A sufficient condition

Theorem (A.)

Let X be a computably ANR, if X satisfies the ϵ -surjection property then it has strong computable type.

Sketch of the proof: ingredients

- Suppose X is embedded in the Hilbert cube and is semicomputable,

Sketch of the proof: ingredients

- Suppose X is embedded in the Hilbert cube and is semicomputable,
- There exists a computable copy $X_0 \subset Q$ of X and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 ,

Sketch of the proof: ingredients

- Suppose X is embedded in the Hilbert cube and is semicomputable,
- There exists a computable copy $X_0 \subset Q$ of X and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 ,
- w.l.o.g we take $d_U(r, id_U)$ as small as we want,

Sketch of the proof: ingredients

- Suppose X is embedded in the Hilbert cube and is semicomputable,
- There exists a computable copy $X_0 \subset Q$ of X and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 ,
- w.l.o.g we take $d_U(r, id_U)$ as small as we want,
- Let $h : X \rightarrow X_0$ be a homeomorphism,

Sketch of the proof: ingredients

- Suppose X is embedded in the Hilbert cube and is semicomputable,
- There exists a computable copy $X_0 \subset Q$ of X and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 ,
- w.l.o.g we take $d_U(r, id_U)$ as small as we want,
- Let $h : X \rightarrow X_0$ be a homeomorphism,
- Recall that there exists a dense computable sequence $f_j : Q \rightarrow Q$,

Sketch of the proof: ingredients

- Suppose X is embedded in the Hilbert cube and is semicomputable,
- There exists a computable copy $X_0 \subset Q$ of X and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 ,
- w.l.o.g we take $d_U(r, id_U)$ as small as we want,
- Let $h : X \rightarrow X_0$ be a homeomorphism,
- Recall that there exists a dense computable sequence $f_j : Q \rightarrow Q$,
- Let V be an open set and $Y = X \setminus V$,

Sketch of the proof: ingredients

- Suppose X is embedded in the Hilbert cube and is semicomputable,
- There exists a computable copy $X_0 \subset Q$ of X and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 ,
- w.l.o.g we take $d_U(r, id_U)$ as small as we want,
- Let $h : X \rightarrow X_0$ be a homeomorphism,
- Recall that there exists a dense computable sequence $f_j : Q \rightarrow Q$,
- Let V be an open set and $Y = X \setminus V$,
- Let (g_s) such that $g_s = f_j|_Y$ for some j and $g_s(Y) \subset U$,

Sketch of the proof: ingredients

- Suppose X is embedded in the Hilbert cube and is semicomputable,
- There exists a computable copy $X_0 \subset Q$ of X and a computable retraction $r : U \rightarrow X_0$ for some open set U containing X_0 ,
- w.l.o.g we take $d_U(r, id_U)$ as small as we want,
- Let $h : X \rightarrow X_0$ be a homeomorphism,
- Recall that there exists a dense computable sequence $f_j : Q \rightarrow Q$,
- Let V be an open set and $Y = X \setminus V$,
- Let (g_s) such that $g_s = f_j|_Y$ for some j and $g_s(Y) \subset U$,
- Take g_k such that $d_Y(h|_Y, g_k)$ small enough.

Sketch of the proof: an equivalence

- 1 $Y \neq X$,
- 2 There exists some l such that $r \circ g_l : Y \rightarrow X_0$ is non-surjective and $d_Y(r \circ g_l, g_k)$ is small.

Sketch of the proof: an equivalence

- 1 $Y \neq X$,
- 2 There exists some l such that $r \circ g_l : Y \rightarrow X_0$ is non-surjective and $d_Y(r \circ g_l, g_k)$ is small.

Condition 2 is semidecidable.

Sketch of the proof: an equivalence

- 1 $Y \neq X$,
- 2 There exists some l such that $r \circ g_l : Y \rightarrow X_0$ is non-surjective and $d_Y(r \circ g_l, g_k)$ is small.

Condition 2 is semidecidable.

Finally, the proof works relative to any oracle.

Extension to pairs

Theorem (A.)

Let X be a computably ANR and $A \subset X$ be compact ANR, if (X, A) satisfies the ϵ -surjection property then it has strong computable type.



Djamel Eddine Amir and Mathieu Hoyrup.

Computability of finite simplicial complexes.

In Mikolaj Bojanczyk, Emanuela Merelli, and David P. Woodruff, editors, *49th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, ICALP 2022, July 4-8, 2022, Paris, France*, volume 229 of *LIPICs*, pages 111:1–111:16. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2022.



Djamel Eddine Amir and Mathieu Hoyrup.

Strong computable type, 2022, to appear in *Computability*.



Pieter Collins.

Computability of homology for compact absolute neighbourhood retracts.

In Andrej Bauer, Peter Hertling, and Ker-I Ko, editors, *Sixth International Conference on Computability and Complexity in Analysis, CCA 2009, August 18-22, 2009, Ljubljana, Slovenia*,

volume 11 of *OASICS*. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, Germany, 2009.



Zvonko Iljazović.

Computability of graphs.

Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 66(1):51–64, 2020.



Zvonko Iljazović and Igor Sušić.

Semicomputable manifolds in computable topological spaces.

Journal of Complexity, 45:83–114, 2018.



Joseph S. Miller.

Effectiveness for embedded spheres and balls.

Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 66(1):127 – 138, 2002.

CCA 2002, Computability and Complexity in Analysis (ICALP 2002 Satellite Workshop).

Thank you!